June 16, 1998

Refer to: HNG-14

John F. Carney, III, Ph.D., P.E. Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs Worcester Polytechnic Institute 100 Institute Road Worcester, Massachusetts 01609-2280

Dear Dr. Carney:

On March 20 you sent information on the Wide REACT crash cushion addressed to the Director, Office of Engineering requesting that the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) accept this device for use on the National Highway System (NHS) at National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 350 test level 3 (TL-3). Included with your request were copies of the Texas Transportation Institute's March 1998 report entitled "Full-Scale Crash Testing and Evaluation of the Wide REACT System" and video tapes of the tests that were conducted. After members of my staff reviewed your submission, I responded on April 3 that at least two additional tests were recommended because the effect on early tests of design changes made to the Wide REACT as the testing continued was questionable and because we believed that at least one critical test was not run. Specifically, we had recommended that test 3-30 (820-kg car head-on at 100km/h) be re-run and also that test 3-36 (820-kg car at 15 degrees and 100 km/h impacting at the interface of cylinders 2 and 3) be run. On June 9, Mr. Richard Powers of my staff received copies of a Texas Transportation Institute report "NCHRP Report 350 Tests 3-36 and 3-30 of the Wide REACT", dated June 1998, and - by separate correspondence on the same day - your concurrent request for FHWA acceptance of the Wide REACT at TL- 3 based on this additional information.

We noted that when you ran test 3-36 on the original design, the vehicle was not redirected and the occupant impact velocity exceeded the maximum allowable value of 12 m/s. As a result, you modified the wall thicknesses of several cylinders in the tested array and re-ran the test with passing results. You then re-ran test 3-30 using the revised design, and successfully met the appropriate evaluation criteria. As implied in my April 3 response to your initial request, we are now willing to waive test 3-32 based on the results of the two additional tests you ran. We will also accept tests 3-31 and 3-33 with the 2000-kg pickup truck as valid certification tests in spite of subsequent design changes in the Wide REACT. We believe that the added row of cylinders (after test 3-31) and the reduction in the wall thicknesses of several cylinders (after both tests) is unlikely to effect those test results adversely. Likewise, we have reviewed the reported test

results and video tape coverage of test 3-38, as well as the supplemental information provided by Mr. Dean Alberson in his June 11 letter to Mr. Powers, and concluded that the final design changes to the Wide REACT were again unlikely to change the outcome of the earlier test. Summary sheets for each of the tests noted above are contained in Enclosure 1.

The final design of the Wide REACT, including the specified wall thicknesses of each cylinder in the array, is shown on Enclosure 2. The Wide REACT is intended to shield rigid, vertical-faced structures up to 2.75 meters in width. We note the system uses the same basic components as the narrow REACT but consists of two parallel columns of polyethylene cylinders set on support anchor tracks and contained by four redirecting cables on the outside of each column. A rigid strut spans the system between rows four and five to transfer loads to the opposite-side cables when the Wide REACT is impacted on the side.

Based on our review of the test results and final design details, we agree that the Wide REACT, as anchored and tested, satisfies the evaluation criteria for an NCHRP Report 350 TL-3 crash cushion. It may be used on the National Highway System (NHS) when such use is requested by a State highway agency. Because it is a proprietary device, its use on Federal-aid projects, except exempt, non-NHS projects, remains subject to the conditions listed in Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 635.411, copies of which have previously been sent to you. As stated in my April 3 response to your original request, additional testing on the rear transition may be needed if the Wide REACT is intended for use at sites where reverse-direction impacts are likely.

Please call Mr. Powers at (202) 366-1320 if you have any questions regarding this action.

Sincerely yours,

(original signed by Dwight A. Horne)

Dwight A. Horne Chief, Federal-Aid and Design Division

2 Enclosures Acceptance Letter CC-50



Figure 18. Summary of results for test 400001-WDR11, NCHRP Report 350 test 3-30.



Figure 13. Summary of results for test 400001-WDR1.

٠.

0.000 s	0.124 s	0.447 s		
14.7 dec 1.8 m				
General Information Test Agency	Impact Co Isportation Institute Speed DR10 Angle (Exit Condit Speed (nion Angle (CT Occupant Impact yethylene cylinders x-dire densities y-dire	nditions (km/h)	Test Article Deflections (m) Dynamic Permanent Vehicle Damage Exterior VDS CDC Maximum Exterior Vehicle Crush (mm) Interior	3.39 0.43 12FD3 12FDEW3 165
Soil Type and Condition 76 mm dee Test Vehicle Type	ip concrete pad, dry THIV (k Ridedov x-dire y-dire Metro PHD (g' ASI Max. 0. x-dire y-dire 2-dire	cm/h) 44.8 wn Accelerations (g's) 9.7 action 9.7 action 9.3 1.2 050-s Average (g's) action -13.2 action 2.4	OCDI Max. Occ. Compart. Deformation (mm) Post-Impact Behavior (during 1.0 s after impact) Max. Yaw Angle (deg) Max. Pitch Angle (deg) Max. Roll Angle (deg)	FS0000100 44 -31 -28 -30

Figure 10. Summary of results for test 400001-WDR10, NCHRP Report 350 test 3-36.

۰,



(LL)

	0.000 s	0.096 s	s		0.241 s	0.410 s	
	6.4 m	2 m 16.24 deg	22.48 deg				- 102
			Impact Condition	s		Test Article Deflections (m)	
4	General Information	exas Transportation Institute	Speed (km/h)	-	96.98	Dynamic	0.12
. U	Test No	00001-7	Angle (deg)		22.48	Permanent	0.95
	Date	1/16/98					
	Test Article		Exit Conditions			Vehicle Damage	
	Туре С	Crash Cushion	Speed (km/h)		47.22	Exterior	111505
	Name or Manufacturer V	Vide REACT	Angle (deg)	•••••	15.67	VDS	
	Installation Length (m) 7.13 Size and/or dimension Occupant Risk V					115LER4 8111VEW/3	
			Uccupant Hisk Va	Occupant Risk Values		Maximum Exterior	
	and material of key F	inteen polyethylene cylinders	rmpact velocition	ιγ (III/S)	9.28 (9.32)*	Vehicle Crush (mm)	800
	elements 0	6 mm deen concrete pad, dry	y-direction		7.25 (3.54)	Interior	
	Test Vehicle	o min deep concrete pad, dry	Ridedown Acc	celerations (g's)		OCDI	LF0112000
		Production	x-direction		-19.88 (-7.56)	Max. Occ. Compart.	
	Designation	2000P	y-direction		17.73 (16.56)	Deformation (mm)	54
	Model 1	993 Chevrolet 2500 pickup	Max. 0.050-s	Average (g's)		Post-Impact Behavior	
	Mass (kg) Curb 1	811	x-direction	•••••	-11.44 (-9.01)	(during 1.0 s after impact)	5.0
	Test Inertial 2	2000	y-direction		10.16 (5.32)	Max. Holl Angle (deg)	-0.0 2.2
	Dummy	lo dummy	z-direction		0.49	Max. Pitch Angle (deg)	-2.3
	Gross Static 2	2000	data from rear in parenthesis	accelerometers		Max. Taw Angle (deg)	JJ.L

Figure 29. Summary of results for test 400001-WDR7.

.

• .



3"[76] + 1/2"[178] + 1/2"[37] + 1/2"[38] +	$\frac{1}{4^{2}[102]} + \frac{1}{4^{2}[102]} + \frac{1}{4^{2}[$
SIDE CABLE ANCHOR PLATE DETAIL	TS 2"x2"x1/4"[51x51x6] TYP/ RIGID STRUT DETAIL (SCALE 1/4X)
	U.S. PATENT No.DALE:ROADWAY SAFETY SERVICE, INC.54031124/4/951050 RAND ROAD WAUCONDA, IL 60084PREPARED C KARPATHY5/27/9820000EDENGINEER L BULLARD2/10/982/10/98D ALBERSON2/10/98111LEREVISED C KARPATHY6/11/98SCALE.SHEEL1 = 103 OF 3

.



.

U.S. PATENT No.		DAIE.	ROA	DWAY SAFETY SERVI	CE, INC.
5403112	5403112		1050 RAND ROAD WAUCONDA, IL 60084		
PREPARED	C KARPATHY	2/24/98	PRODUC	ED: SAFETY QUEST,	INC.
ENGINEER	L.BULLARD 2/10/9		SUS UTIVERSITY DRIVE E COLLEGE STATION TX 77840		
	D. ALBERSON	2/10/98	TITLE.		· · · · ·
REVISED	C KARPATHY	6/11/98] h	EACT 350	
SCALE.	SHEE	L	SIZE:	DESIGN WIDTH	REV:
1 = 50) 2	OF 3	A	7'-9" - 10'-0"	

(